





Advocacy Director Autocacy Director American Lung Association in California, Center for Tobacco Policy & Organizing



Raymond Leung, JD Senior Staff Attorney ChangeLab Solutions

Lindsey Freitas Senior Director



Environmental Programs Manager City of Manhattan Beach, CA

Speakers





Tanya Bustamante Tobacco Prevention Program Manager City of Berkeley, CA

Jay Macedo Policy Planning & Evaluation Analyst Sonoma County Department of Health Services

Disclaimer

The information provided in this discussion is for informational purposes only, and does not constitute legal advice. ChangeLab Solutions does not enter into attorney-client relationships.

ChangeLab Solutions is a non-partisan, nonprofit organization that educates and informs the public through objective, non-partisan analysis, study, and/or research. The primary purpose of this discussion is to address legal and/or policy options to improve public health. There is no intent to reflect a view on specific legislation.

© 2016 ChangeLab Solutions

Agenda

- Overview of Tobacco Industry Opposition
- Panel: What Have Industry Groups Done in Local Communities
- Overcoming the Industry: Legal Arguments &
 Counterarguments
- Overcoming the Industry: Organizing Strength
- Q&A















National Association of Tobacco Outlets is a trade association representing business interest of tobacco retailers.



























Manhattan Beach: Just the Facts

Location: 3 miles south of LAX

City Area: 3.88 sq. mi

Beach: 2.1 miles of beach front

Pier: Manhattan Beach has a scenic 928-foot-long pier at the end of Manhattan Beach Boulevard





Ordinance 15-0020

- Purpose: To encourage responsible retailing of tobacco products and electronic cigarettes in Manhattan Beach
- Manhattan Beach Ordinance No. 15-0020:
 - Prohibits sale of all tobacco and electronic cigarette products by retailers that are within 500 feet of a school;
 - Prohibits sale of flavored tobacco and electronic cigarette products by <u>all</u> retailers in Manhattan Beach (with the exemption of mint, menthol, spearmint or wintergreen flavors)

Concerns raised by retailers

- Inclusion of parks in the proposed ordinance
- Distance used to measure which businesses are 1,000 feet from a school; and
- Grandfathering existing businesses into the proposed ordinance

Opposition Group

- American Petroleum and Convenience Store Association (Milpitas, CA)
 - Submitted 2 letters to the Mayor stating shared goal to keep tobacco products out of the hands of minors, and support for local ordinances
 - Advocating for grandfathering of existing retailers
 - Opposition of flavored tobacco ban, stated that MB ordinance goes far beyond other flavor bans Request for allowance of continued sale of mint and
 - wintergreen products at all retail establishments

Results

- Staff contacted all tobacco retailers in the City (20) to notify them of them proposed requirements, and address concerns
- City Council discussed requirements and impacts to businesses and made some adjustments to the ordinance (e.g. 500 ft. distance, allowance of some flavors)







Tobacco and Electronic Cigarette Retailers in Manhattan Beach Would be Required to:

- Obtain and display a permit from the Discussion City;
- Discontinue sale of flavored products (unless patrons are 18 or older);
 Post signage stating that the sale of electronic cigarettes to anyone under
- electronic cigarettes to anyone unde 18 years of age is illegal;Check the identification of anyone
- who appears to be under the age of 30;
- Keep all tobacco products and electronic cigarettes out of public view;
- Discontinue sale of tobacco products or electronic smoking devices from vending machines; and

Discontinue self-service displays unless: • the retailer primarily sells tobacco products or electronic cigarettes; • generates more than 60% of

- revenue from annual sale of these products;
- does not allow anyone under the age of 18 to enter; does not sell alcoholic beverages
- or food for consumption on the premises; and posts signage stating that those
- under 18 are not allowed to enter.



TANYA BUSTAMANTE, MPH TOBACCO PREVENTION PROGRAM MANAGER CITY OF BERKELEY PUBLIC HEALTH DIVISION



About the City of Berkeley...

 Fourth largest city in Alameda County, located in the San Francisco Bay Area

- Ethnically diverse city of more than 116,000 residents
- 40% of the city's population is Hispanic, African American or Asian
- Approximately 22% of the population is foreign born*

One of three city health departments in the state of California



* 2010 U.S. Census

Tobacco Retail Buffer Zone Policy

TRL in effect since 2003

 \blacklozenge Council had previously considered restrictions on tobacco product access to youth

Our recommendation (May 2015):

- Require a TRL for sale of electronic nicotine delivery systems (ENDS)
- Prohibit sale of ALL tobacco products within 1000 feet of K-12 schools and public parks
- 1-2 year grace period for retailers

Tobacco Industry Response

May 2015 Council meeting: 27 speakers with majority being retailers in opposition to ordinance proposal

Financial burden for business owners
Allowed to do business; have never been in violation of any ordinance

· · · · · ·

"Ordinance will put us out of business and hurt families."

"Please consider the people. They are human beings and have families."

"This is a free country. This is the American Dream. That is why I come here.

"We do not sell tobacco to minors."



City Hall 2180 Milvia Streat Berkeley, CA 94704 r understand that the parks. This is in addit you passed last mean e sale of tobacco near schools and passed last year and the ordinance ABC licenses to install cameras at ntonen i hat require: (ng lot, and il is driving small businesses out of town. While you ma hey are both legal products that your residents hav noddispensaries from these regulation fing the children you claim you are CHEDULE 1 drug by the federal gov ing to pr G3M/Fine Stop 127 Berkeley Square Berkeley, CA 94704 focus on a Sizuch Re N Pac 1296 Somfabo Berkeby 2x 94 900 sley Mayor and City (Milvia Street Hey, CA 94704 wo years ago, my f very significant perc percent. usiness on Berkeley Square. A my store is not currently near park, what it is u going to take away my right to sell tobo uf my lease when I can no longer attaut le to new park accoil Are you to pay my rent? can be sold in a by the City Court

Dear Mayor and Council:

As legal counsel for the National Association of Tobacco Outlets, Inc. (NATO), a national retail tobacco trade association with its principal office in the State of Minnesota (for more information please see www.natocentral.org), I am submitting this letter on behalf of the association and the NATO retail store members located in Bretkey. These comments address the proposed ordinance as provided in the Memorandum of the City Manager for the Council Meeting of April 7. 2015. 7, 2015.

At the outset, we would note that NATO's members are responsible retailers of tobacco products, including e-cigarettes. They have no interest in selling these products to minors. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration compiles information regarding compliance inspections of retailers that sell tobacco products. These compliance inspections include having an underage decoy attempt to buy tobacco products from retailer. According to the FDA's website, which reports the outcome of compliance inspections, from Augus 2012 through December 2014, 61 inspections of Flerkeley retailers occurred. In only one instance did a retailer sell to a minor, a 98% success rate. The one retailer that failed was tested again five months later and passed. (A spreadsheet terived from the FDA's data is a statched.) The current regulations and enforcement process are working; Berkeley's tobacco retailers are not the direct source of tobacco products getting in the hands of minors and they should be commended, not targeted to be put out of business.

Our Response...

- Coalition members = Strong support!
- Presence at City Council meeting
- Written communications to Council
- Presented 2 options to Council:
- 1000 ft buffer zone around schools and public parks that prohibits sale of FLAVORED tobacco products, OR

 600 ft buffer zone around schools and public parks that prohibits sale of ALL tobacco products

 Focus on number of retailers affected and timing of implementation (later effective date for smaller buffer zone; both options had 3 year grace period)

What Worked...Lessons Learned

- Strong Coalition members and local supporters
- Internal collaboration with City partners
- Sound statistical findings and images of youth in Powerpoint presentation
- Early outreach and education to impacted population
 Address impact on retail early on
 Propose plan to decrease

and references are sound

economic burden on retailers

Ensure that statistical findings



Ultimate Buffer Zone Outcome

- Unanimous Council decision (Sept. 15, 2015):
 Require a Tobacco Retail License (TRL) to sell Electronic Nicotine Delivery Systems (ENDS) and e-liquids;
- Implement a buffer zone around schools only, with a grace period of three years to minimize economic hardship for qualifying retailers, that would: Prohibit the sale of ENDS, e-liquids, and flavored (including menthol) tobacco products within 600 feet of schools only, effective January 1, 2017;
- Add a provision to prohibit the issuance of new TRLs within the 600 foot buffer zone.
- Impact on 26 retailers (31%)







Proposed TRL Provisions

- E-cigarettes and new nicotine products
- Violation of any tobacco law
- Pharmacies Restrict number of new retailers
- through density limits
- Limit new retailers near schools
 Limit new significant tobacco retailers
- Drug paraphernalia Mobile vending
- Compliance support
- Minimum price
- Discount prohibition
- Minimum pack sizes

Factics Calls, emails, and letters to staff and to policy makers Posters and community education Grassroots organizing of retailers Ordinance public comment Letters related to legal action from NATO Public Information Requests Counter-point PowerPoints and Fact sheets

KEY TO EFFECTIVE TRL: CONCLUSION

- Sonoma County staff's assertion that a TRL Ordinance will reduce underage access to tobacco with the hoped for outcome of reducing incidence of smoking by minors has not been proven.
- been proven. Results from San Luis Obispo County demonstrate need for strong enforcement and adequate funding for TRL Ordinance compliance checks--Youth Tobacco Purchase Surveys (decoy stings) Without consistent YTPS and appropriate penalties a TRL ordinance by itself does not reduce youth Trues to tobacco products Funding Dr. Sonoma County TRL Ordinance needs to adequately cover the cost of 138 TTPS per year. Current distribution of funds uggested by DHS will likely prevent Sheriff's Office from effectively carrying outnecessary compliance checks.
- Cooperation between the county and cities will go far to establish a uniform smoking ordinance

Summary of TRL Changes

- After two first readings, Board majority votes to adopt with minor change
- Second reading postponed due to a retailer trade association conference
- ${\scriptstyle \bullet}$ New ordinance revisions ${\scriptstyle \rightarrow}$ a new first reading on March 29, 2016
- Delay implementation date for minimum price, discount ban, and pack size
- Reduce settlement in lieu of appeal penalties
- Include a voluntary Healthy Retail Certification for retailers in ordinance Allow full license transferability for most grandfathered retailers
- Allow retailers within 1,000 feet of schools and tobacco-only retailers to transfer to family members
- Allows all retailers to transfer if retailer achieves and maintains healthy retail certification
- Decrease TRL fees temporarily with Master Settlement Agreement funding



ChangeLab Solutions

Healthier communities for all through better laws and policies.





Operation of the second seco

"...[M]ay expose the City to litigation and liability fir [sic] diminishing the value of businesses and real property without just compensation."

"By what legal authority does the City set the retail price of any legal product, including cigars? We would be pleased to see any statutory cite that you are relying on to justify mandating minimum package sizes and product prices."

- NATO letter to City of Hermosa Beach (August 21, 2015



17

Overcoming Industry Arguments Policy (themes)

Legal liability for city/county	6
Revenue loss for retailers	6
No effect on youth deterrence	6
Disguised prohibition	6
Loss of competitive advantage for retailers	5
No FDA action	4
No/little scientific evidence of policy effectiveness	4
City/county should grandfather existing retailers	4
Exempt adult-only establishment	4





Three Strategic Tips

- 1. Stay on Message, Don't Stray
- 2. Make Strange Bed Fellows
 - 3. Arm Your Champions









19











